

On Predicting System Performance, System Wait Times, and Operator Workload of Human-UV teams

Jacob W. Crandall, M. L. Cummings,
Michael A. Goodrich, Curtis Nielsen

May 26, 2006

Sponsored by Lincoln Lab





Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Futuristic Systems

- A few operators controlling lots of UVs



Assume one human controlling multiple homogeneous UVs




Research Objective

- Measure stuff
 - System Performance
 - Individual UV effectiveness
 - Operator Workload
- Identify **key performance parameters**
 - Use to improve system design
- Develop measures that **predict** how various factors affect system characteristics
 - e.g., What happens to system performance and operator workload when the operator is asked to control more UVs?



Fanout

- Definition:
The number of robots a human operator(s) can *effectively* control?



Fanout can be viewed in 2 ways:

1. How many robots can we add until performance plateaus/declines?
2. How many robots are needed to obtain a desired level of performance?




Why Fanout?

- If we can construct predictive measures of fanout, we can
 - Make more informed design decisions
 - Employ adaptive automation more effectively
 - Determine what kind of team we should use to accomplish a mission



Measuring Fanout


- Methods
 - Brute Force





Measuring Fanout


- Methods
 - Brute Force
 - Inundate the system (Wood & Olsen, 2004)
 - See how many UVs human uses





Measuring Fanout


- Methods
 - Brute Force
 - Inundate the system
 - Measure a little and predict effectively






Develop a Theory

- Interaction scheme
 - Human
 - Robot
 - Autonomy Mode, etc.
 - Interface
- Team Configuration
 - Combination of interaction schemes
- Improve fanout by improving
 - interaction scheme
 - the interaction between the interaction schemes






UGV 1


ALL

Rescues: 6 out of 18




04:24

- UGV 1
- UGV 2
- UGV 3
- UGV 4
- ALL



Rescues: 3 out of 10




01:04



Theory of Fanout

- Operator switches her/his attention between robots



- Each robot's time is divided in to
 - Interaction time (IT) when human gives it its attention
 - Neglect time (NT) when human ignores (neglects) it



Fanout Equation

(Olsen & Goodrich, 2003)


$$FO = \left\lfloor \frac{NT}{IT} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{NT + IT}{IT} \right\rfloor$$

Example

NT = 7 seconds

IT = 2 seconds


$$FO = \left\lfloor \frac{NT}{IT} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{7}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = 4$$





Switching Costs

- It takes time to decide which robot to service next
- Must decide to service the “right” ones



We can assume switching costs are part of IT

- IT changes with the number of robots



Fanout Equation

(Olsen & Goodrich, 2003)


$$FO = \left[\frac{NT}{IT} \right] + 1 = \left[\frac{NT + IT}{IT} \right]$$

- To increase fanout, increase NT or decrease IT
 - Do this improving aspects of the interaction scheme
 - Interface
 - Robot autonomy (may need to switch to different level of automation)
 - Or, increase training
- So IT and NT must be tied to performance



Interface Efficiency (IE)


- Describes a robot's effectiveness as the operator interacts with it.
 - Function of *Operator time-on-task*
- Helps determine interaction time (IT)?





Neglect Impact (NI)


- Describes how the robot's effectiveness changes as the operator *neglects* it.
 - Function of operator time-off-task
- Determines “acceptable” NT






Neglect Impact (NI)

- Describes how the robot's effectiveness changes as the operator *neglects* it.
 - Function of operator time-off-task
- Determines “acceptable” NT





Putting It Together



Attention Allocation Efficiency

- What is the proper NT?



Four Elements

- Interface Efficiency
- Neglect Impact
- Attention Allocation Efficiency
- Task Allocation Efficiency

If we have accurate measures of these elements, we can construct the fanout curve


How is each component affected by increasing number of UVs?




Information

Robots shares discovered map of the “building”, so increasing number of robots can increase interface efficiency and neglect impact

Interface Efficiency



Neglect Impact




IT and NT change as # of robots changes. Must predict change.






Effects of Workload

Interface Efficiency -- Local Control



Interface Efficiency -- Global Control



Workload Tolerant





Other Factors

- Other factors that change measures
 - Complexity
 - World, display
 - Task priority
 - Operator skill and training
 - Nature of other tasks



Summary


- Started on path to measure fanout efficiently
 - Book chapter to further work coming shortly
- Future Work
 - Investigate *wait times*
 - Lots more



Cost of Workload


When robot performance was “low”

P2P Interface Efficiency



Local Control

ROI Interface Efficiency



Global Control







Cost of Workload

When robot performance was “low”

P2P Interface Efficiency



ROI Interface Efficiency



Workload Tolerant Interaction Schemes






Cost of Workload


When robot performance was “medium”

P2P Interface Efficiency



Local Control

ROI Interface Efficiency



Global Control





Value of Adding a Robot

- Value of adding a UV to a system:

$$V_{Add} = V_{Work} + V_{Information} - Cost_{Workload} - Cost_{Complexity}$$

V_{Work} - Work done by the added UV

$V_{Information}$ - Increased performance of other UVs due to the information gathered by added UV


$Cost_{Workload}$ - Decrease in performance of other UVs due to increased neglect times, etc

$Cost_{Complexity}$ - Decrease in performance due to increases in complexity (display and world)





Fanout



$$\text{Performance} = 1\text{M} * \text{numRescues} - 1\text{M} * \text{LostRobots}$$